## 

1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney MIRIAM R. HINMAN		
2			
3	Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100		
4	Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700		
5	Facsimile: (916) 554-2900		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
7			
8	IN THE UNITED ST	TATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:22-CR-0036-WBS	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; FINDINGS AND ORDER	
13	v.		
14	YOSEF YITZCHAK BESHARI,		
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	STIPULATION		
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and		
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status conference (possible change of plea) on		
21	February 28, 2022, which was subsequently vacated.		
22	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference (possible		
23	change of plea) until April 11, 2022 at 9:00 a.m., and to exclude time between today's date and April 11		
24	2022, under Local Code T4.		
25	3. The parties agree and stipulate, ar	nd request that the Court find the following:	
26	a) The government has produ	aced over 700 Bates-stamped pages and other items of	
27	discovery, including video and a spreadsheet containing a large number of electronic messages.		
28	The parties are discussing a protective order so that the government may produce thousands of		

additional pages of discovery.

- b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to review the discovery and discuss it with his client. Such discovery review would be necessary to prepare for trial, should the defendant choose not to enter a change of plea, and is also relevant to preparation for sentencing.
- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- d) The continuance is also requested for continuity of counsel, taking into account defense counsel's need to travel from southern California for court and his prior commitments in southern California for other clients.
  - e) The government does not object to the continuance.
- f) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- g) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period from today's date to April 11, 2022, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: March 7, 2022

PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

/s/ MIRIAM R. HINMAN

## 

1		MIRIAM R. HINMAN Assistant United States Attorney
2		
3	Dated: March 7, 2022	/s/ ROBERT WEINBERG
4		ROBERT WEINBERG Counsel for Defendant
5		Yosef Yitzchak Beshari
6		
7		
8		ORDER
9	IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED.	
10	Dated: March 8, 2022	dilliam & shite
11		VILLIAM B. SHUBB INITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

28